

FULL DIMENSIONAL SETS OF REALS WHOSE SUMS OF PARTIAL QUOTIENTS INCREASE IN CERTAIN SPEED

LIANGANG MA

ABSTRACT. For a real $x \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, let $x = [a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots]$ be its continued fraction expansion. Let $s_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x)$. The Hausdorff dimensions of the level sets

$$E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} := \{x \in (0, 1) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x)}{\varphi(n)} = \alpha\}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$ and a non-decreasing sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ have been studied by E. Cesaratto, B. Vallée, J. Wu, J. Xu, G. Iommi, T. Jordan, L. Liao, M. Rams *et al.* In this work we carry out a kind of inverse project of their work, that is, we consider the conditions on $\varphi(n)$ under which one can expect a 1-dimensional set $E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$. We give certain upper and lower bounds on the increasing speed of $\varphi(n)$ when $E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$ is of Hausdorff dimension 1 and a new class of sequences $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$ is of full dimension. There is also a discussion of the problem in the irregular case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $I = [0, 1]$ be the unit interval. For a real $x \in I \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, let

$$(1.1) \quad x = [a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), \dots] := \frac{1}{a_1(x) + \frac{1}{a_2(x) + \frac{1}{a_3(x) + \dots}}}$$

be its continued fraction expansion. Let

$$G(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{x} \right\} = \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x} \right]$$

be the Gauss map, with the two symbols $\{ \}$ and $[\]$ being the fractional and integral part of the number. $G(x)$ is conjugated to a shift map on a countable alphabet. Let

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x)$$

be the sum of the first n partial quotients, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We focus on the limit behaviors of $s_n(x)$ in this work. According to A. Ya. Khinchin [Khi],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x)}{n} = \infty$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. In 1988, W. Philipp [Phi, Theorem 1] strengthened Khinchin's result by showing that, for a sequence of positive numbers $\varphi(n)$ such that $\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$ is non-decreasing,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{\varphi(n)} = 0 \text{ or } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{\varphi(n)} = \infty \text{ a. e.}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11K50; Secondary 37E05, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension; sums of partial quotients; continued fractions.

according to whether $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} < \infty$ or $= \infty$. His proof relies on the theory of mixing random vectors or triangular arrays. As to subsets of the residual set, which are all of measure 0, it turns out that the Hausdorff dimension is a useful tool to distinguish their sizes. From the point view of dynamical systems $(I, G(x))$, E. Cesaratto and B. Vallée [CV], G. Iommi and T. Jordan [IJ] got interesting results on Hausdorff dimension of the sets

$$\{x : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x)}{n} \leq \alpha\} \text{ or } \{x : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x)}{n} = \alpha\}$$

for $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, as applications of their more comprehensive results in their more general contexts (the case $\alpha = \infty$ is also computed in [IJ]).

The level sets

$$(1.2) \quad E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} := \{x \in (0, 1) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(x)}{\varphi(n)} = \alpha\}$$

for $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and non-decreasing $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ are considered by J. Xu [Xu], J. Wu and J. Xu [WX1], as well as L. Liao and M. Rams [LR1]. For a set $E \subset [0, 1]$, let $\dim_H E$ be its Hausdorff dimension. They proved various results on $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$ with different increasing speed $\varphi(n)$. For example, in [WX1], Wu and Xu showed that

$$\dim_H E_{n \log n, \alpha} = 1$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$. They also gave more sequences $\varphi(n)$ such that $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} = 1$ in [WX1, 4] with the restriction that

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} < \frac{1}{2}$$

In the case $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \frac{1}{2}$, Liao and Rams [LR1, Theorem 1.2] proved that a full dimensional set is still possible for some sequences $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper we continue the search for non-decreasing sequences $\varphi(n)$ such that $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} = 1$, as an attempt to exhausting sequences with this property. We first show that

1.1. Theorem.

For a non-decreasing sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and a non-negative real α , if $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} = 1$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty \text{ and } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Then we continue to point out that

1.2. Theorem.

For every $\beta \in [0, 1)$, there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \beta \text{ and } \dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} = 1.$$

Remark. Examples of $\varphi(n)$ with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} \in [0, 1/2]$ have been given in [WX1] and [LR1] according to their results mentioned before. Our examples (together with [LR1, Theorem 1.2]) with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} \in (1/2, 1)$ shows how big effect a mild change on the growth rate of $\varphi(n)$ can have on the dimension of the level sets $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$. One is recommended to compare these results with [WX1, 4] and [LR1, Theorem 1.1, 1.2].

At last, for the irregular case (see Section 5), we show that

1.3. Theorem.

For any small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} < \infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty,$$

such that

$$1 - \dim_H E_{\varphi(n), \alpha} < \epsilon.$$

As proofs of these results for any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ are of completely same processes (case $\alpha = 0$ is usually trivial by some known results), we only deal with dimension of the set $E_{\varphi(n)} := E_{\varphi(n), 1}$ instead of $E_{\varphi(n), \alpha}$ in the following.

2. SOME NOTATIONS AND ESTABLISHED RESULTS

By a rank- n basic interval we mean

$$I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := \{x \in (0, 1) : a_1(x) = a_1, \dots, a_n(x) = a_n\}$$

for a fixed sequence of positive integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Its length can be explicitly expressed as a function of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. If one sets $p_{-1} = 1, p_0 = 0, q_{-1} = 0, q_0 = 1$ and $p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 1$, then

$$|I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})}.$$

Especially, we use the following estimation,

$$\frac{1}{2q_n^2} < |I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)| < \frac{1}{q_n^2}.$$

According to I. G. Good [Goo, P209], a covering set of intervals whose elements are all basic intervals is called a fundamental covering system. We always use fundamental covering systems throughout the work. It is enough to restrict the coverings to be fundamental ones on discussing dimensions of sets in continued fractions. The following result says that adding or neglecting finitely many partial quotients does not affect the dimension of the set.

Good's Corollary.

Let $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-empty sets of positive integers. Let

$$T = \{x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] : a_i \in \mathcal{E}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For a finite number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{\mathcal{E}'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of non-empty sets of positive integers. Let

$$U = \{x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] : a_i \in \mathcal{E}'_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i \in \mathcal{E}_i \text{ for } i > n\}.$$

Then $\dim_H T = \dim_H U$.

For a sequence of positive numbers $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$G_{\varphi(n)} := \{x \in (0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq \varphi(n) \text{ i.o. } n\}$$

in which *i.o.* reads infinitely often. Good [Goo] have ever gave bounds on $\dim_H G_{\varphi(n)}$ for some $\varphi(n)$, T. Łuczak [Luc, Theorem] (see also [FWLT]) showed $\dim_H G_{c^n} = \frac{1}{b+1}$ for

any $b, c > 1$. In 2008, B. Wang and J. Wu [WW] determined precise values of $\dim_H G_{\varphi(n)}$ for any $\varphi(n)$, which greatly strengthens Good and Łuczak's results. They proved that

WW's Theorem.

Suppose $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} = \log B$, in the case $B = \infty$, let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{n} = \log b$, then

$$\dim_H G_{\varphi(n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B = 1 \\ s_B & \text{if } 1 < B < \infty \\ 1/(1+b) & \text{if } B = \infty, 1 \leq b \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

in which $1/2 < s_B < 1$ is monotone decreasing for $1 \leq B < \infty$.

If we define $\mathcal{P}(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\frac{1}{B^n q_n^2}\right)^s$ as the pressure function, then $s_B = \inf\{s : \mathcal{P}(s) \leq 0\}$ in the theorem. One is recommended to [MU] and [Wal] for more general pressure functions.

Now we introduce some notations and results by E. Cesaratto and B. Vallée [CV] as well as G. Iommi and T. Jordan [IJ]. We only state their results in some simple cases, which will be enough for our uses. Their original results are in far more general contexts. Denote by $F_\alpha = \{x \in (0, 1) : \frac{s_n(x)}{n} \leq \alpha \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, γ is the Euler constant. Then according to [CV, Theorem 2],

CV's Theorem.

For any $\vartheta < 2$, $|\dim_H F_\alpha - 1| = \frac{6}{\pi^2} e^{-1-\gamma} 2^{-\alpha} (1 + O(\vartheta^{-\alpha}))$.

While their result is focused essentially on the exponential convergent rate of $\dim_H F_\alpha$ to 1 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we only use the fact $\dim_H F_\alpha < 1$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. By [IJ, Corollary 6.6, Proposition 6.7] we have

IJ's Proposition.

$0 \leq \dim_H E_{n\alpha} < 1$ is real analytic, strictly increasing for $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H E_{n\alpha} = 1$.

This means that for any $0 \leq r < 1$ there exists a unique α_r such that $\dim_H E_{n\alpha_r} = r$. The Proposition will be exploited in our Proposition 5.3. At last we recover a result of A. Fan, L. Liao, B. Wang and J. Wu [FLWW1, Lemma 3.2] as following.

FLWW's Lemma.

Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers tending to infinity with $s_n \geq 3$ for all n . Then for any positive number $N \geq 2$,

$$\dim_H \{x \in (0, 1) : s_n \leq a_n(x) \leq N s_n \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \left(2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log s_{n+1}}{\log s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n}\right)^{-1}.$$

The lemma is generalized to the following form by Liao and Rams [LR2, Lemma 2.3].

LR's Lemma.

For two sequences $\vec{s} = \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \vec{t} = \{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ with $s_n \geq 1, t_n > 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$F(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) := \{x \in (0, 1) : s_n \leq a_n(x) \leq s_n t_n \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Now if $F(s, t) \neq \emptyset$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(t_n - 1)}{\log s_n} = 0$, then

$$\dim_H F(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) = \left(2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log s_{n+1}}{\log s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n}\right)^{-1}.$$

They are very useful in dealing with sets with dimensions $\leq 1/2$. For various applications of them, see [FLWW1] [JR] [LR1] and [LR2].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove the two necessary conditions on the growth rate of $\varphi(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for the set $E_{\varphi(n)}$ to be of dimension 1. We first show the requirement on the lower growth rate.

3.1. Lemma.

For a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty.$$

Proof. We show this by reduction to absurdity. Suppose that there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \alpha < \infty$ and $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$. Then for this $\varphi(n)$,

$$E_{\varphi(n)} \subset F_\alpha$$

according to our notations before. By CV's Theorem, $\dim_H F_\alpha < 1$, so $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} < 1$. This contradicts the assumption $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$, so the conclusion follows. $\blacksquare$

Remark. As far as the author knows, all the examples of sequences $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty$. However, among the slightly lower growing sequences $\varphi(n)$ with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} < \infty$, we are not sure whether there exists one such that $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$, see the discussions in Section 5.

Now we show the bound on the upper growth rate of $\varphi(n)$ for sequences $\varphi(n)$ with $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$.

3.2. Theorem.

For a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Proof. We again show this by reduction to absurdity. Suppose that there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n+1} > 0$ and $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$. Then we can find some $B > 1$ and small $\epsilon > 0$, such that for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n > N$,

$$\frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n+1} > \log B + \epsilon.$$

So we have $\varphi(n) > B^{n+1} e^{(n+1)\epsilon}$. By choosing N large enough, we can guarantee that for all $n > N$,

$$\varphi(n) > \frac{1}{(B-1)(1-\epsilon)} B^{n+1}.$$

Now consider the set $E_{\varphi(n)}$ for this $\varphi(n)$. If $x \in E_{\varphi(n)}$, then for n large enough, without loss of generality (by Good's Corollary), suppose for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{s_n(x)}{\varphi(n)} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Then for all $n > N$,

$$s_n(x) > (1 - \epsilon)\varphi(n) > \frac{1}{B-1}B^{n+1} > \sum_{k=1}^n B^k.$$

Now split $E_{\varphi(n)}$ into two sets E_1 and E_2 ,

$$E_1 = \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_n(x) > B^n \text{ i.o. } n\},$$

$$E_2 = \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_n(x) > B^n \text{ for only finitely many values of } n\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$(3.1) \quad E_{\varphi(n)} = E_1 \cup E_2.$$

By WW's Theorem,

$$(3.2) \quad \dim_H E_1 < 1.$$

For $\dim_H E_2$, note that

$$(3.3) \quad E_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_i(x) \leq B^i \text{ for all } i \geq k\}$$

We claim that

$$\dim_H \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_i(x) \leq B^i \text{ for all } i \geq k\} \leq 1/2$$

for any $k \geq 1$. Considering (3.3) This will force

$$(3.4) \quad \dim_H E_2 \leq 1/2$$

We only show the claim in the case $k = 2$, proofs for the general cases are similar. When $k = 2$, let

$$A_j = \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_1(x) = j, \text{ there exists some } N^* \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that for all } i > N^*, \frac{1}{2}B^i \leq a_i(x) \leq B^i\}.$$

We have the following decomposition:

$$\{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : a_i(x) \leq B^i \text{ for all } i \geq 2\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j.$$

This is because if there is an infinite sequence $\{i_l \in \mathbb{N}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$, such that $a_{i_l}(x) < \frac{1}{2}B^{i_l}$, then for any fixed j and $x \in A_j$, the inequality

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) > \sum_{i=1}^n B^i$$

can not hold for all $n > N$, considering the two restrictions $a_i(x) \leq B^i$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $a_{i_l}(x) < \frac{1}{2}B^{i_l}$ for all $l \geq 1$. Now by FLWW's Lemma,

$$\dim_H A_j \leq 1/2.$$

So the claim is true, which successively justifies (3.4).

Finally, combining (3.1) (3.2) and (3.4), we get

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} < 1$$

under the assumptions on the sequence $\varphi(n)$. This contradicts the assumption that $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$, so the theorem follows. ■

Remark. In an earlier version of the paper, the author showed that a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$ with $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$ must satisfy

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} \leq \log \zeta(2)$$

in which $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann zeta function, based on [Goo, Theorem 5]. Shortly after Prof. B. Wang told me that the bound can be decreased from $\log \zeta(2)$ to 0 by WW's Theorem. The proof now is modified according to his comments. Prof. Wang also gave an easier proof of the theorem, however, we feel the old one still contains something interesting and the idea will be retrieved briefly in Corollary 6.1, so we decide only to modify it to some extent. Here is Prof. Wang's proof of Theorem 3.2: suppose there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n)$ with $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} > 0$, then for some small $\epsilon > 0$ and $B > 1$, we have

$$\varphi(n) > \frac{n}{1-\epsilon} B^n$$

for n large enough. If $x \in E_{\varphi(n)}$, then for n large enough, $s_n(x) > (1-\epsilon)\varphi(n) > nB^n$. So there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that $a_k(x) > B^n \geq B^k$. This implies

$$E_{\varphi(n)} \subset \{x \in (0, 1) : a_n(x) > B^n \text{ i.o. } n\}.$$

Then by WW's Theorem, $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \leq s_B < 1$, which contradicts the assumptions.

It is easy to see that, from WW's Theorem, we can show

3.3. Corollary.

For a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1/2 < s_B < 1$, if $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \geq s_B$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(n)}{n} \leq \log B.$$

3.4. Corollary.

For a non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_b = \frac{1}{b+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}, 1 \leq b \leq \infty$, if $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \geq s_b$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{n} \leq \log b.$$

There are more discussions on the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in Section 6.

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Proof. This is an instant corollary of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we give an explicit non-decreasing sequence $\varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the properties in Theorem 1.2, based on Wu and Xu's work [WX1]. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, take a sequence $\{n_k = k^N\}_{k=1}^\infty$. For $0 < \beta < 1$, let

$$\varphi(n) = \begin{cases} e^{n_k^\beta} & n = n_k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \\ e^{n_k^\beta} + n - n_k & n_k < n < n_{k+1} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Without special declaration we always mean this sequence by the notation $\varphi(n)$ in this section. We will show that

4.1. Proposition.

For N large enough and the sequence $\varphi(n)$ defined above, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \beta \text{ and } \dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1.$$

It is easy to check that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \beta$. In the following we will demonstrate that $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = 1$. The proof follows Wu and Xu's method [WX1, 3], we only give proofs where we feel necessary. We first give some notations following Wu and Xu. For an $M \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$H_M = \{x \in (0, 1) : a_{n_k}(x) = [e^{n_k^\beta} - e^{n_{k-1}^\beta}] \text{ for } k \geq 2, 1 \leq a_i(x) \leq M \text{ for } i \neq n_k \text{ for any } k \geq 1\}.$$

We will omit the integer notation $[\]$ in the following for simplicity, as results will not be affected. It is easy to show that $H_M \subset E_{\varphi(n)}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$A_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : a_{n_k} = e^{n_k^\beta} - e^{n_{k-1}^\beta} \text{ for } 2 \leq k \leq n^{1/N}, 1 \leq a_i \leq M \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq n_k \leq n \text{ for any } k \geq 1\}.$$

Obviously we have

$$H_M = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_n} I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$, $t(n) = \#\{k : k^N \leq n\} \leq n^{1/N}$. For any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_n$, let $\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-t(n)}$ be the finite sequence by deleting the terms $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{t(n)}$ in $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ be the finite continued fraction of $\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$. Now we show that

4.2. Lemma.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N_0 , such that for any $n \geq N_0$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_n$, we have

$$|I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)| \geq |I_{n-t(n)}(\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)})|^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Assume $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. First, by [Wu, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$(4.1) \quad |I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2q_n^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \geq \frac{1}{2(q_{n-t(n)}(\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)})(e^{n_{k-1}^\beta} - e^{n_{k-2}^\beta} + 1)t(n))^2}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.2) \quad 2(e^{n_{k-1}^\beta} - e^{n_{k-2}^\beta} + 1)^{2t(n)} \leq 2(e^{n_{k-1}^\beta})^{2t(n)} \leq 2e^{2n^\beta(1/n^N)} \leq \textcircled{1} 2^{(n-t(n)-1)\epsilon} \leq \textcircled{2} q_{n-t(n)}^{2\epsilon}(\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}).$$

The inequality $\textcircled{1}$ holds as long as N is large enough, while $\textcircled{2}$ is due to $q_n \geq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for any finite n -continued fraction. Now combining (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$|I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2q_{n-t(n)}^{2(1+\epsilon)}(\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)})} \geq |I_{n-t(n)}(\overline{(a_1, \dots, a_n)})|^{1+\epsilon}.$$

■

Remark. From the proof one can see that the inequality $\textcircled{1}$ can hold only for $\beta < 1$ no matter how small $t(n)$ is (recall $t(n)$ describes density of the terms $\{\varphi(n_k) : n_k \leq n\}$ in $\{\varphi(j)\}_{j=1}^n$). This is the obstacle to generalize the method to cases $\beta \geq 1$.

Now for two points $\{x, y\} \subset H_M$ and $x < y$, there is one and only one integer $n \geq 1$, such that

$$x \in I_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n, l_{n+1}), y \in I_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n, r_{n+1}), 1 \leq l_{n+1} \neq r_{n+1} \leq M$$

with

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_n, (a_1, \dots, a_n, l_{n+1}) \in A_{n+1}, (a_1, \dots, a_n, r_{n+1}) \in A_{n+1}.$$

For the distance between x and y , similar to [WX1, Lemma 3.4], we can show

$$y - x \geq \frac{1}{(M+2)^3} I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Now we are in a position to prove Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Proposition 4.1:

Proof. This is of similar process as [WX1, Proof of Theorem 1.4], with only a change of some constants. ■

Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Proposition 4.1.

5. THE IRREGULAR CASE

While cases of sums of partial quotients grow *linearly* ($\varphi(n) = \alpha n, \alpha \in [1, \infty)$) were considered in [CV] [IJ], Wu and Xu [WX1], Liao and Rams [LR1] have dealt with the dimensional problem under the regular condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty$ on the growth rate. It is termed the *super-linear* case in [WX1]. The restriction $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty$ does guarantee some convenience and general results (the condition is also assumed in [FLWW2] and [LR2]) on studying $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)}$. However, there seems little known in the *irregular case* that $\varphi(n)$ satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} < \infty$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty.$$

simultaneously. In this section we present some results in this case, by establishing some links between results on $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)}$ with various growth rates $\varphi(n)$. However, we are not the first to deal with some irregular growth rate considering [DV, Corollary 3] in continued fractions. Note that our sequences are even more “irregular” than ones in [DV] as $\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$ can not be non-decreasing for $\varphi(n)$ satisfying 5.1 and 5.2.

For two n -sequences $\vec{\sigma}_n = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and $\vec{\tau}_n = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ in $\mathbb{N}^n$ differs only at the subscripts $\Omega = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{t(n)}\}, 1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{t(n)} \leq n$, we mean

$$\sigma_j = \tau_j \text{ if } j \notin \Omega \text{ and } \sigma_j \neq \tau_j \text{ if } j \in \Omega$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $t(n) = \#\Omega$. Compare the denominators of the convergents, we have

5.1. Lemma.

$$\frac{\sigma_{n_1} \sigma_{n_2} \cdots \sigma_{n_{t(n)}}}{(\tau_{n_1} + 1)(\tau_{n_2} + 1) \cdots (\tau_{n_{t(n)}} + 1)} < \frac{q_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_n(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_1} + 1)(\sigma_{n_2} + 1) \cdots (\sigma_{n_{t(n)}} + 1)}{\tau_{n_1} \tau_{n_2} \cdots \tau_{n_{t(n)}}}$$

Proof. Let $q_j(\vec{\sigma}_n) = q_j(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_j)$ and $q_j(\vec{\tau}_n) = q_j(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We only show

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{q_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_n(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_1} + 1)(\sigma_{n_2} + 1) \cdots (\sigma_{n_{t(n)}} + 1)}{\tau_{n_1} \tau_{n_2} \cdots \tau_{n_{t(n)}}},$$

the other inequality can be shown similarly. First, $q_j(\vec{\sigma}_n) = q_j(\vec{\tau}_n)$ for $-1 \leq j < n_1$ as $\sigma_j = \tau_j$ for $-1 \leq j < n_1$. When $j = n_1$,

$$\frac{q_{n_1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} = \frac{\sigma_{n_1} q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) + q_{n_1-2}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{\tau_{n_1} q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-2}(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_1} + 1) q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{\tau_{n_1} q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} = \frac{\sigma_{n_1} + 1}{\tau_{n_1}}.$$

When $j = n_1 + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q_{n_1+1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_{n_1+1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} - \frac{\sigma_{n_1} + 1}{\tau_{n_1}} = \frac{\sigma_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} - \frac{\sigma_{n_1} + 1}{\tau_{n_1}} = \\ & \frac{\sigma_{n_1+1} \tau_{n_1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) \tau_{n_1} - (\sigma_{n_1} + 1)(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))}{\tau_{n_1}(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))} < \\ & \frac{\sigma_{n_1+1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1) q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) \tau_{n_1} - (\sigma_{n_1} + 1)(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))}{\tau_{n_1}(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))} < \\ & \frac{(\sigma_{n_1} + 1)(\sigma_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) - \tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\tau) - q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))}{\tau_{n_1}(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))} = \frac{-(\sigma_{n_1} + 1) q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n)}{\tau_{n_1}(\tau_{n_1+1} q_{n_1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_1-1}(\vec{\tau}_n))} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\frac{q_{n_1+1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_{n_1+1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{\sigma_{n_1} + 1}{\tau_{n_1}}.$$

Inductively one can show

$$\frac{q_j(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_j(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{\sigma_{n_1} + 1}{\tau_{n_1}}$$

for any $n_1 \leq j < n_2$. When $j = n_2$,

$$\frac{q_{n_2}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_{n_2}(\vec{\tau}_n)} = \frac{\sigma_{n_2} q_{n_2-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n) + q_{n_2-2}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{\tau_{n_2} q_{n_2-1}(\vec{\tau}_n) + q_{n_2-2}(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_2} + 1) q_{n_2-1}(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{\tau_{n_2} q_{n_2-1}(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_2} + 1)(\sigma_{n_1} + 1)}{\tau_{n_2} \tau_{n_1}}.$$

By similar inductive steps as before we can show

$$\frac{q_j(\vec{\sigma}_n)}{q_j(\vec{\tau}_n)} < \frac{(\sigma_{n_2} + 1)(\sigma_{n_1} + 1)}{\tau_{n_2} \tau_{n_1}}$$

for any $n_2 \leq j < n_3$. The inequality 5.3 holds by inductive processes on n_l for $1 \leq l \leq t(n)$. $\blacksquare$

Now we aim to compare lengths of the two rank- n basic intervals $I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)$ and $I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)$.

Comparison Lemma.

Suppose that for n large enough

$$(5.4) \quad \max\{\sigma_{n_1} + 1, \sigma_{n_2} + 1, \dots, \sigma_{n_{t(n)}} + 1, \tau_{n_1} + 1, \tau_{n_2} + 1, \dots, \tau_{n_{t(n)}} + 1\} \leq \psi(n)$$

for some $\psi(n)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, if $2^{(n-1)\epsilon} \geq 2\psi^{2t(n)}(n)$ for n large enough, then

$$(5.5) \quad |I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)|^{1+\epsilon} \leq |I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)| \leq |I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)|^{1-\epsilon}$$

for n large enough.

Proof. First, by Lemma 5.1,

$$|I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2q_n^2(\vec{\sigma}_n)} \geq \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{(\sigma_{n_1}+1)\cdots(\sigma_{n_{t(n)}}+1)}{\tau_{n_1}\cdots\tau_{n_{t(n)}}}q_n(\vec{\tau}_n)\right)^2}.$$

Now by 5.4,

$$q_n(\vec{\tau}_n)^{2\epsilon} \geq 2^{(n-1)\epsilon} \geq 2\psi^{2t(n)}(n) \geq 2\left(\frac{(\sigma_{n_1}+1)\cdots(\sigma_{n_{t(n)}}+1)}{\tau_{n_1}\cdots\tau_{n_{t(n)}}}\right)^2,$$

so

$$(5.6) \quad |I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)| \geq \frac{1}{q_n^{2+2\epsilon}(\vec{\tau}_n)} \geq |I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)|^{1+\epsilon}$$

By interchanging $\vec{\sigma}_n$ and $\vec{\tau}_n$, repeat the former process, we get $|I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)| \geq |I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)|^{1+\epsilon}$, so

$$(5.7) \quad |I_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)| \leq |I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)|^{1/(1+\epsilon)} \leq |I_n(\vec{\tau}_n)|^{1-\epsilon}.$$

5.6 and 5.7 justify 5.5. ■

Remark. It is easy to see that, the lemma holds as long as we assume

$$2^{(n-1)\epsilon} \geq 2\left(\frac{(\sigma_{n_1}+1)\cdots(\sigma_{n_{t(n)}}+1)}{\tau_{n_1}\cdots\tau_{n_{t(n)}}}\right)^2 \text{ and } 2^{(n-1)\epsilon} \geq 2\left(\frac{(\tau_{n_1}+1)\cdots(\tau_{n_{t(n)}}+1)}{\sigma_{n_1}\cdots\sigma_{n_{t(n)}}}\right)^2$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Each of the two assumed inequality guarantees one of the two inequalities in 5.5. Moreover, the result can also be sharpened when considering sets of reals with large $q_n(\vec{\tau}_n)$ and/or $q_n(\vec{\sigma}_n)$ uniformly. These give more general or sharper results, however, the one now is enough for our use in this work. Compare the lemma with [WX1, Lemma 3.3].

As a first application of the lemma, we show that

5.2. Corollary.

For the sets

$$E_M(\alpha) := \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{l^2}(x) = [4\alpha l \log l] \text{ for all } l \geq 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq a_i(x) \leq M \text{ for } i \neq l^2 \text{ for any } l \geq 1\},$$

$E_M := \{x \in [0, 1) : 1 \leq a_i(x) \leq M \text{ for any } i \geq 1\}$ in [WX1] and H_M defined in the last section, we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \dim_H E_M = \dim_H H_M$$

Proof. We only show the first half of the equality, the second half is similar. It is shown in [WX1, Proof of theorem 1.4] that $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \dim_H E_M$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n_l = l^2$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the subset of the set E_M ,

$$E'_M := \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{l^2}(x) = 1 \text{ for all } l \geq 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq a_i(x) \leq M \text{ for } i \neq l^2 \text{ for any } l \geq 1\}.$$

We will show that $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \dim_H E'_M$, which is enough to imply $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \dim_H E_M$. Obviously there is an 1 – 1 correspondence between numbers in $E_M(\alpha)$ and E'_M . We will show this is also the case for their fundamental coverings. For a δ -covering of countable fundamental intervals $\{I_{m_j}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of the set $E_M(\alpha)$, let $t(m_j) := \#\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l^2 \leq m_j\}$. Obviously $t(m_j) \leq m_j^{1/2}$ for m_j large enough. Then the set of fundamental intervals

$$\{I_{m_j}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j}) : \tau_i = \sigma_i \text{ if } i \neq l^2 \text{ for any } 1 \leq l \leq t(m_j) \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq m_j, \tau_i = 1 \text{ if } i = l^2 \text{ for some } 1 \leq l \leq t(m_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$$

is a δ' -covering of E'_M . If δ is small enough, we can guarantee the infimum rank $\inf\{m_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is large enough and δ' is small enough. $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})$ differs only at the subscripts $\Omega = \{n_l\}_{l=1}^{t(m_j)}$. Moreover,

$$\max\{\sigma_{n_1} + 1, \dots, \sigma_{n_{t(m_j)}} + 1, \tau_{n_1} + 1, \dots, \tau_{n_{t(m_j)}} + 1\} \leq m_j$$

for any m_j . For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$2^{(m_j-1)\epsilon} \geq 2m_j^{2m_j^{1/2}} \geq 2m_j^{2t(m_j)}.$$

By the Comparison Lemma,

$$|I_n(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})|^{1+\epsilon} \leq |I_n(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})| \leq |I_n(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})|^{1-\epsilon}.$$

It is easy to see that every δ' -covering of E'_M of fundamental intervals $\{I_{m_j}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ can be obtained in such a way through a δ -covering of $E_M(\alpha)$. So we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \dim_H E'_M.$$

■

By IJ's Proposition and the Comparison Lemma, we can demonstrate that

5.3. Proposition.

For any $0 \leq r < 1$, there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \alpha_r + e^{-1}$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \infty$, such that

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \geq r.$$

Proof. By IJ's Proposition, $\dim_H E_{n\alpha_r} = r$ for any $0 \leq r < 1$. Now consider the following set

$$E'_{n\alpha_r} := \{x \in (0, 1) : a_n(x) = a_n(y) \text{ if } n \neq l^l, a_{l^l}(x) = a_{l^l}(y) + l^{l+1} - (l-1)^l \text{ for any } l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and some } y \in E_{n\alpha_r}\}.$$

There is an 1 – 1 mapping between numbers in $E_{n\alpha_r}$ and $E'_{n\alpha_r}$. Now suppose the set $E_{n\alpha_r}$ has a δ -covering of countable fundamental intervals $\{I_{m_j}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Let $t(m_j) := \#\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l^l \leq m_j\}$, obviously $t(m_j) \leq m_j^{1/2}$ for m_j large enough. Then the sets of fundamental intervals

$$\{I_{m_j}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j}) : \tau_i = \sigma_i \text{ if } i \neq l^l \text{ for any } 1 \leq l \leq t(m_j) \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq m_j, \tau_i = \sigma_i + l^{l+1} - (l-1)^l \text{ if } i = l^l \text{ for some } 1 \leq l \leq t(m_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$$

is a δ' -covering of $E'_{n\alpha_r}$. If δ is small enough, we can guarantee the infimum rank $\inf\{m_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is large enough and δ' is small enough. As $\{I_{m_j}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ covers $E_{n\alpha_r}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m_j} \sigma_i \sim m_j \alpha_r$ (means $1 - \epsilon < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_j} \sigma_i}{m_j \alpha_r} < 1 + \epsilon$). Now compare $|I_{m_j}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})|$ and $|I_{m_j}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})|$. In notations of the Comparison Lemma, let $n_l = l^l$ for any $1 \leq l \leq t(m_j)$. Note that $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})$ differs only at the subscripts $\Omega = \{n_l\}_{l=1}^{t(m_j)}$. Moreover,

$$\max\{\sigma_{n_1} + 1, \dots, \sigma_{n_{t(m_j)}} + 1, \tau_{n_1} + 1, \dots, \tau_{n_{t(m_j)}} + 1\} \leq 2m_j \alpha_r + m_j^2 < m_j^3$$

for m_j large enough. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and m_j large enough, we have

$$2^{(m_j-1)\epsilon} \geq 2m_j^{6m_j^{1/2}} \geq 2m_j^{6t(m_j)}.$$

By the Comparison Lemma,

$$|I_n(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})|^{1+\epsilon} \leq |I_n(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m_j})| \leq |I_n(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})|^{1-\epsilon}.$$

It is easy to see that every δ' -covering of $E'_{n\alpha_r}$ of fundamental intervals $\{I_{m_j}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ can be obtained in such a way through a δ -covering of $E_{n\alpha_r}$. So we have

$$\dim_H E_{n\alpha_r} = \dim_H E'_{n\alpha_r} = r.$$

Now let

$$\varphi_r(n) = n\alpha_r + (l-1)^l \text{ for } (l-1)^{l-1} \leq n < l^l, l \geq 2.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_r(n)}{n} = \alpha_r + e^{-1}, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_r(n)}{n} = \infty$$

and $E'_{n\alpha_r} \subset E_{\varphi_r(n)}$, so $\dim_H E_{\varphi_r(n)} \geq r$, which justifies the proposition. ■

It would be an interesting question to ask whether $\dim_H E_{\varphi_r(n)} = r$ or $\dim_H E_{\varphi_r(n)} > r$ for the sequence $\varphi_r(n)$ defined above. Theorem 1.3 follows directly from Proposition 5.3.

6. SOME FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

Comparing our Proposition 4.1 with [LR1, Theorem 1.1], we can see that for $1/2 \leq \beta < 1$ and a sequence $\{\varphi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \varphi(n)}{\log n} = \beta$, the dimension of the set $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)}$ can still vary in a large scope (at least between $1/2$ and 1). In this case, in order to get a full dimensional set (or say, an s -dimensional set, $0 \leq s \leq 1$) $E_{\varphi(n)}$, not only order of $\varphi(n)$ matters, but also many other aspects. For example, in [LR1, Theorem 1.2], differences of the two neighbouring terms $\varphi(n+1) - \varphi(n)$ (the term $\psi'(x)$ there in fact sets restrictions on $\varphi(n+1) - \varphi(n)$) play an importance role. Also, density of some “special” terms matters sometimes, as one can see from our examples in Proposition 4.1, there $n^{1/N}$ describes density of the critical terms $\varphi(n_k)$. However, general conditions on these aspects for getting a full dimensional set are difficult for us to describe.

Now we would like to talk more about one of the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 3.2, that is, using bounds on the dimension of sets regarding individual quotients

to bound dimension of sets regarding sums of the quotients. We can use the idea to do more in fact.

Since the dimensional theory of sets in continued fractions are developed, people have considered distributions of various terms, say, $a_n(x), s_n(x), T_n(x) := \max\{a_k(x) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ (see for example, [Oka], [WX2], [LR1], [Ma], [FS]), $q_n(x)$ (see [Bes] [Goo]), etc., or hybrids of them (for example, see our Proposition 4.1). Lots of results have been obtained since then. Although many results are closely related with each other, it seems that there are no systematic study of the links between these dimensional results on various terms. To the author, every dimensional result on one kind of the terms gives birth to results on other kinds of terms. For example, in 2008 Wu and Xu [WX2, Theorem 1.1] showed that for any $\alpha \geq 0$, $E(\alpha) := \{x \in (0, 1) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_n(x) \log \log n}{n} = \alpha\}$, we have

$$\dim_H E(\alpha) = 1.$$

Now if we set $\varphi(n) = \int_1^n \frac{x}{\log \log x} dx$ and

$$G_{\varphi(n)} = \{x \in (0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq \frac{n}{2 \log \log n} \alpha \text{ i.o. } n\}$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$, then we can see that

$$E(\alpha) \subset G_{\varphi(n)}.$$

So we get $\dim_H F(\alpha) = 1$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Of course we can also deal with $\dim_H F(\alpha)$ by WW's Theorem or a lemma of Good [Goo, Lemma 6]. There is another example on this idea. For $b, c > 1$, let

$$E(b, c) = \{x \in (0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq c^{b^n} \text{ i.o. } n\}.$$

Then according to T. Luczak [Luc, Theorem] (see also [FWLT]) or WW's Theorem,

$$\dim_H E(b, c) = \frac{1}{b+1}.$$

By this result we can show that

6.1. Corollary.

For $b, c > 1$ and $\varphi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n c^{b^k}$, we have

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = \frac{1}{b+1}.$$

Proof. First, by FLWW's Lemma, we have

$$\dim_H \{x \in (0, 1) : \frac{1}{2}c^{b^n} < a_n(x) < c^{b^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \frac{1}{b+1}$$

for any $b, c > 1$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that $c - \epsilon > 1$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$F_N(b, c - \epsilon) = \{x \in E_{\varphi(n)} : \frac{1}{2}(c - \epsilon)^{b^n} < a_n(x) < (c - \epsilon)^{b^n} \text{ for all } n \geq N\}.$$

Then $\dim_H F_N(b, c - \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{b+1}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We can show that

$$E_{\varphi(n)} \subset E(b, c - \epsilon) \cup \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} F_N(b, c - \epsilon) \right).$$

Since $\dim_H E(b, c - \epsilon) = \frac{1}{b+1}$, $\dim_H F_N(b, c - \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{b+1}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \leq \frac{1}{b+1}$. Moreover, as $\{x \in (0, 1) : c^{b^n} < a_n(x) < (1 + \frac{1}{n})c^{b^n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset E_{\varphi(n)}$, by LR's Lemma,

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} \geq \dim_H \{x \in (0, 1) : c^{b^n} < a_n(x) < (1 + \frac{1}{n})c^{b^n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \frac{1}{b+1},$$

so $\dim_H E_{\varphi(n)} = \frac{1}{b+1}$.



REFERENCES

- [Bes] A. Besicovitch, On rational approximation to real numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 9(1934), 126-131.
- [CV] E. Cesaratto and B. Vallée, Hausdorff dimension of real numbers with bounded digit averages, Acta Arith. 125 115-162, 2006.
- [DV] H. G. Diamond, J. D. Vaaler, Estimates for partial sums of continued fraction partial quotients, Pacific J. Math. 122, 73-82, 1986.
- [FLWW1] A. Fan, L. Liao, B. Wang and J. Wu, On Kintchine exponents and Lyapunov exponents of continued fractions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 29 (2009), 73-109.
- [FLWW2] A. Fan, L. Liao, B. Wang and J. Wu, On the fast Khintchine spectrum in continued fractions, Monatshefte für Mathematik, September 2013, Volume 171, Issue 3, pp 329-340.
- [FS] L. Fang and K. Song, A remark on the extreme value theory for continued fractions, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.04326>, 2016
- [FWLT] D. Feng, J. Wu, J. Liang and S. Tseng, Appendix to the paper by T. Luczak-a simple proof of the lower bound, Mathematika 44(1997) 54-55.
- [Goo] I. J. Good, The fractional dimensional theory of continued fractions, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 37 (1941), 199-228.
- [IJ] G. Iommi and T. Jordan, Multifractal analysis of Birkhoff averages for countable Markov maps, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 35 (2015), 2559-2586.
- [JR] T. Jordan and M. Rams, Increasing digit subsystems of infinite iterated function systems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), no. 4, 1267-1279.
- [Khi] A. Ya. Khinchin, Metrische Kettenbruchprobleme Compos. Math. 1 361-382, 1935.
- [Luc] T. Luczak, On the fractional dimension of sets of continued fractions, Mathematika 44(1997) 50-53.
- [LR1] L. Liao and M. Rams, Subexponentially increasing sums of partial quotients in continued fraction expansions, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 160, no. 03, 401-412, 2016.
- [LR2] L. Liao and M. Rams, Upper and lower fast Khintchine spectra in continued fractions, Monatshefte für Mathematik 180 (1) (2016) 65-81.
- [Ma] L. Ma, A remark on Liao and Rams' result on distribution of the leading partial quotient with growing speed $e^{n^{1/2}}$ in continued fractions, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03344>, 2016
- [MU] R. Mauldin and M. Urbański, Conformal iterated function systems with applications to the geometry of continued fractions Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 351 (1999) 4995-5025.
- [Oka] T. Okano, Explicit continued fractions with expected partial quotient growth, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (3) (2002), 1603-1605.
- [Phi] W. Philipp, Limit theorems for sums of partial quotients of continued fractions, Monatshefte für Mathematik, Volume 105, Issue 3, pp 195-206, September 1988.
- [Wal] P. Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [Wu] J. Wu, A remark on the growth of the denominators of convergents, Monatshefte für Mathematik 147(2006) 259-264.
- [WW] B. Wang and J. Wu, Hausdorff dimension of certain sets arising in continued fraction expansions, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 218, Issue 5, 1 August 2008, Pages 1319-1339.
- [WX1] J. Wu and J. Xu, On the distribution for sums of partial quotients in continued fraction expansions, Nonlinearity 24 (2011), no. 4, 1177-1187.
- [WX2] J. Wu and J. Xu, The distribution of the largest digit in continued fraction expansions, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 146(2009) 207-212.
- [Xu] J. Xu, On sums of partial quotients in continued fraction expansions, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), no. 9, 2113-2120.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BINZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUANGHE 5TH ROAD No. 391,
CITY OF BINZHOU, SHANDONG PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: maliangang000@163.com